

# WHAREWAKA (2003) LTD

Wharewaka Point Subdivision - Stage 2

Geotechnical Completion Report



#### HARRISON GRIERSON CONSULTANTS LIMITED

#### **Document Control Record**

WHAREWAKA (2003) LTD

**Project** 

Wharewaka Point Subdivision - Stage 2

Project No.

1050-014359-01

**Document** 

Geotechnical Completion Report

#### **ISSUE AND REVISION RECORD**

Status/Revision No.

1

Set No.

\_\_\_ of \_\_\_

**Date of Issue** 

April 2006

**Originator** 

Mark Thomas BE(Civil) CPEng - Principal

Checked

John Collie - Şenior Principal

**Approved** 

Mark Thomas BE(Civil) GREng - Principal

Office of Origin

Tauranga

**Telephone** 

07 578 0023

**Facsimile** 

07 577 9557

**Email** 

tauranga@harrisongrierson.com

## WHAREWAKA (2003) LTD

## Wharewaka Point Subdivision - Stage 2

## **Geotechnical Completion Report**

April 2006 Reference 1050-014359-01

#### **CONTENTS**

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SITE INVESTIGATIONS	1
3.0	DEVELOPMENT	1
4.0	TESTING	2
5.0	BUILDING RESTRICTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
5.1	PRESENCE OF BOULDERS	3
5.2	UNSUITABLE FILL	3
5.3	LOT 59 RETAINING WALL	3
6.0	CONCLUSIONS	3
7.0	LIMITATIONS	4
8.0	REFERENCES	5

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1 Statement of Professional Opinion as to Geotechnical Suitability of Land for Building

Appendix 2 Test Results on Completed Development

#### **DRAWINGS**

014359-2-AB200	Finished level Contours - Sheet 1 of 2
014359-2-AB201	Finished level Contours - Sheet 2 of 2
014359-2-AB202	Cut and Fill Plan - Sheet 1 of 2
014359-2-AB203	Cut and Fill Plan - Sheet 2 of 2

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report is for Lots 43 to 126, and 162 to 179 of DP 363862, comprising Stage 2 of the Wharewaka Point Subdivision, located off Ernest Kemp Rise, Taupo. The report describes the geotechnical aspects of the site, earthworks undertaken to develop the subdivision, and records construction information and building restrictions.

#### 2.0 SITE INVESTIGATIONS

Prior to development, geotechnical investigations where undertaken by Harrison Grierson Consultants Ltd to assess the suitability of the site for development, and the findings were presented in a report (Reference 1). The investigations confirmed that the site is located on Taupo Pumice Alluvium, which comprises medium dense and dense sands and gravels. The soils have good bearing capacity suitable for the erection of buildings with foundations not requiring specific foundation design.

A feature of the site was the large number of boulders present across the site.

#### 3.0 DEVELOPMENT

Earthworks to develop the site for a residential subdivision were undertaken between January 2005 and March 2005 by Advance Earthworks, who were awarded a contract to undertake the earthworks construction for the entire Wharewaka Point Subdivision. The bulk of the earthworks within Stage 2 comprised excavation to form the road subgrades. Cut and fill operations were undertaken on some lots to create the finished contour, however on Lots 94 to 120, and 162 to 173 there were no substantive earthworks undertaken apart from at the fronts of the lots where they were cut to suit the road contour.

In areas where earthworks were undertaken, topsoil was first stripped and stockpiled, and all vegetation and fences cleared. Earthworks, including stripping, cut to fill operations and respreading topsoil was primarily undertaken with motorscrapers. Hydraulic excavators and dump trucks were used in areas where rock had to be undercut from road subgrades and other areas, primarily in Stage 2, and for a limited amount of earthmoving. Compaction of pumice fill was undertaken with a vibratory drum roller. Undercutting of the road subgrades was required in many areas to remove large boulders present, however there was very little undercutting required within the Lot areas.

Earthworks within the lot areas have involved fill depths of up to 2.25m, and cut depths of up to 1.0m. Earthfilling was undertaken to the requirements of NZS 4431.

Drawings 014359-2-AB200 and AB 201 show the finished level contours for the site, while Drawings 014359-2-AB202 and AB203 show the depths of cut and fill over the site.

Recontouring of the adjacent State Highway 1 road reserve was undertaken during construction of the subdivision. Organic soils containing stones and other materials not suitable for building on were placed within the reserve to provide a screening bund. Some of this fill placed in the reserve extends into the rear of Lots 64 to 74.

Along the edge of Lots 67 to 75, some fill was placed over ground that had not had the topsoil completely removed. Test pits have revealed 100mm thickness of topsoil, approximately 1m below the surface.

A retaining wall has been constructed in the north-eastern corner of Lot 59. The wall is a timber pole retaining wall, and was subjected to specific design to allow for a building surcharge of 9kPa behind the wall. A building consent was obtained for the wall, and a Code Compliance Certificate has been obtained for the completed wall.

#### 4.0 TESTING

During construction the Contractor undertook nuclear densometer and scala penetrometer tests to confirm that the required compaction standards were being achieved. The specified compaction level for fill areas was 95% of maximum dry density at optimum moisture content. Scala penetrometer testing was undertaken adjacent to areas where nuclear densometer field tests were undertaken to calibrate the results, with additional scala penetrometer tests being undertaken across the fill areas as a control. The test results show that the specified standard was exceeded throughout.

In addition to the testing undertaken during construction, Harrison Grierson engaged Foundation Engineering to undertake a scala penetrometer test at the centre of each Lot following construction.

The test results are appended to this report.

The tests indicate that the bearing capacity of the soils at each lot is generally suitable for the erection of buildings not requiring specific foundation design, although some of the lots not affected by earthworks had bearing strengths marginally below that required by NZS 3604 in some of the upper soils. At a number of sites rock boulders were hit that curtailed the depth that the penetrometer could extend to.

Organic content tests were undertaken on the three samples from the thin band of organic soils found near the rear of Lots 67 to 74. These tests all showed the organic content to be less than 1%. The low organic content and thinness of the

layer means that any settlements would be very minor, and all within the allowable limits for building foundations. Restrictions on building over these soils are therefore not required.

#### 5.0 BUILDING RESTRICTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 5.1 PRESENCE OF BOULDERS

A number of boulders are present on the site at and just below ground level. It is possible that these boulders may be encountered during building or site contouring, and may need to be removed to enable house foundations to be constructed.

#### 5.2 UNSUITABLE FILL

For Lots 64 to 74, a 3.5m wide building restriction limit has been placed adjacent to the boundary of these lots with the State highway. The affected areas are described as Areas CQ to CX, CZ, and DA to DC on DP 363862. The area contains topsoil, organic and other soils that have spilled over from recontouring activities undertaken on the adjacent highway road reserve. Any building constructed within these areas must be either founded on the underlying natural pumice soils, or have the unsuitable soils undercut and removed, and backfilled with a compacted hardfill.

#### 5.3 LOT 59 RETAINING WALL

The retaining wall constructed on the eastern and part of the northern boundary of this lot has been designed for a surcharge of 9kPa. This should be sufficient for most residential dwellings, however if any building is proposed to be constructed closer to the rear face of the wall than the vertical height of the wall, a Chartered Professional Engineer experienced in Structural Engineering must check the affect of the building on the capacity of the wall. The affected area is the triangular portion of Area Q on DP 363862, adjacent to the eastern boundary of Lot 59.

#### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Prior to development the site was in pasture. Earthworks have been carried out to form the road alignments for the subdivision, and cut and filling operations have been undertaken on some lots to form the finished contour. Cuts of up to 1.0m below the original contour, and fills of up to 2.25m have been undertaken within the Lot areas. The earthworks have all been carried out in a controlled manner, with appropriate testing of fill undertaken during construction.

All sites are suitable for the erection thereon of residential buildings not requiring specific design in accordance with NZS 3604:1999 and related documents.

The following restrictions and notes apply to the development:

- a) Boulders are present on the site at and just below ground level. It is possible that these boulders may be encountered during building or site contouring, and may need to be removed to enable house foundations to be constructed.
- b) For Lots 64 to 74, within areas labelled CQ to CX, CZ, and DA to DC on DP 363862, the upper soils contain topsoil, organic and other soils that have spilled over from recontouring activities undertaken on the adjacent highway road reserve. Buildings constructed within these areas must be either founded on the underlying natural pumice soils, or have the unsuitable soils undercut and removed, and backfilled with a compacted hardfill.
- c) The retaining wall constructed on the eastern and part of the northern boundary of Lot 59 has been designed for a surcharge of 9kPa. For any building constructed within the triangular portion of Area Q on DP 363862, adjacent to the eastern boundary of Lot 59, a Chartered Professional Engineer experienced in Structural Engineering must check the affect of the building on the capacity of the wall.

A Statement on the Suitability of the Land For Building is appended as Appendix 1 of this report.

#### 7.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the particular project described to us and its extent is limited to the scope of work agreed between the client and Harrison Grierson Consultants Limited. No responsibility is accepted by Harrison Grierson Consultants Limited or its directors, servants, agents, staff or employees for the accuracy of information provided by third parties and/or the use of any part of this report in any other context or for any other purposes.

Topsoil depths may vary across the lots, and no guarantee is given as to the depth of topsoil present. Owners should make their own assessment of topsoil depths across each lot prior to commencement of building.

This report does not preclude the necessity for routine foundation inspections at the time of construction of any dwelling.

This report is for the use by Wharewaka (2003) Ltd only, and should not be used or relied upon by any other person or entity or for any other project.

#### 8.0 REFERENCES

1. "Wharewaka Point (2003) Ltd, Wharewaka Point, Taupo. Geotechnical Investigation" Harrison Grierson Consultants Ltd, August 2004, Reference 09.14359.1

 $N:\1050\014359\admin\Stg\ 2\ geo\ compln\ report-\ Rev\ 1.doc$ 

## APPENDIX 1

Statement of Professional Opinion as to Geotechnical Suitability of Land for Building

# STATEMENT OF PROFESSIONAL OPINION AS TO THE GEOTECHNICAL SUITABILITY OF LAND FOR BUILDING

DEVELOPMENT: Wharewaka Point Subdivision – Stage 2

OWNER: Wharewaka (2003) Ltd

LOCATION: Ernest Kemp Rise, Taupo

I Mark Rhys Thomas of Harrison Grierson Consultants Ltd

(full name)
PO Box 1199, Tauranga

(name and address of firm)

#### Hereby confirm that;

Taupo District Council

To:

- I am a professional person, appropriately qualified with experience in geotechnical engineering to ascertain the suitability of the land for building development and was retained as the Soils Engineer to the above development.
- 2) An appropriate level of site investigation and construction supervision has been carried out under my direction and is described in my development evaluation report dated: April 2006
- 3) In my professional opinion, not to be construed as a guarantee, I consider that;
  - a) The earth fills shown on the attached Plan Nos. <u>014359-2-AB202</u> and <u>AB203</u> have been placed in accordance with the earthworks design for this project.
  - b) The completed works give due regard to all land slope and foundation stability considerations.
  - c) The filled ground is suitable for the erection thereon of residential buildings not requiring specific design in terms of NZS 3604 and related documents, providing that:
    - i) The Areas marked CQ to CX, CZ, and DA to DC on Lots 64 to 74 contain unsuitable fill, which must be either removed and backfilled with a compacted hardfill, or have foundations extending to the underlying natural soils.
    - ii) Any building constructed within the eastern portion of Area Q of Lot 59 must have the structural capacity of the retaining wall checked by a Chartered Professional Engineer experienced in structural engineering.
  - d) The original ground not affected by filling is suitable for the erection thereon of residential buildings not requiring specific design in terms of NZS 3604 and related documents providing that:
    - i) Boulders are present in many areas on the site at or just below ground level. These boulders may be encountered during building, and may need to be removed.
- 4) This professional opinion is furnished to the Council and the subdividing owner for their purposes alone, on the express condition that it will not be relied upon by any other person and does not remove the necessity for the normal inspection of foundation conditions at the time of erection for any dwelling.

Signed MM Date 18/04/06

## **APPENDIX 2**

Test Results on Completed Development

HAR				RESULTS		ULT	<u> </u>	TS	L	ו	D_	<u> </u>			e No		i0 0143 Mar-06	59 0
CLIENT PROJEC	:	WHARE		HESULI S	nce I		-	•	afety ndlng					5. Te		y PSI		
Reading			Pen/blow	Bearing	Depti	50	_	00 13	150 <b>20</b>	2		2	50	300		400 CBR	450	Кр
toading	DIOWS	um	rei/blow	Deaning	Debii		7	13	ZU	3	ייי ר	ov	60	<del>†</del>		CDR	internation const	7
LOT 43							:											
1.60	0	NEW			0.00 m													
1.50	3	1	33 mm	98 kPa		++++++	; +++											
1.40	2	0.100	50 mm	68 kPa		+++++												
1.30	6		17 mm	175 kPa		+++++				l								*
1.20 1.10	9 17	0.100	11 mm	238 kPa		+++++								1				
1.00	10	0.100 0.100	6 mm 10 mm	340 kPa 250 kPa	0.50 m	+++++++ ++++++								 	+++			
).90	11	0.100	9 mm	275 kPa	0.70 m	1												
08.0	11	0.100	9 mm	275 kPa		++++++												
).70	12	0.100	8 mm	275 kPa	0.90 m	++++++	+++	++++	++++	++	++4	++	+++					
).60	11	0.100	9 mm	275 kPa		+++++											•	
).50 ).40	11 10	0.100	9 mm	275 kPa		+++++												
).30	9	0.100 0.100	10 mm 11 mm	250 kPa 238 kPa	1.20 m 1.30 m	++++++							+					
.20	9	0.100	11 mm	238 kPa	1.40 m	++++++ ++++++												
.10	' 8	0.100	13 mm	225 kPa	1.50 m	++++++												
.00	8	0.100	12 mm	225 kPa	1.60 m	++++++	++-	++++	++++	-+-	+++							
							1			1								
OT 44							-			۱								
.60	0				0.00 m		1			1								
.50	4	0.100	25 mm	135 kPa		+ <del>++</del> ++++										1		1
.40	9	0.100	11 mm	238 kPa	1	++++++			1444	.[ ++	++	+						
.30	9	0.100	11 mm	238 kPa		++++++												
.20	8	0.100	13 mm	225 kPa	0.40 m	++++++	+++	++++	++++	++	++							
.10	8	0.100	13 mm	225 kPa	0.50 m	++++++	+++	++++	++++	44	++							
.00	6	0.100	17 mm	175 kPa		++++++				1								
.90 .80	7	0.100 0.100	14 mm 14 mm	200 kPa 200 kPa		+++++++												
.70	έl	0.100	13 mm	200 KFa 225 kPa		<del>++++++</del> <del>+++++</del>										İ		
.60	9	0.100	11 mm	238 kPa		++++++						+						
.50	11	0.100	9 mm	275 kPa		++++++							++			1		İ
40	9	0.100	11 mm	238 kPa	1.20 m	+++++	+++	++++	++++	++	++	+				1		
.30	7	0.100	14 mm	200 kPa	1	<del>+++++</del> +												
20	7	0.100	14 mm	200 kPa		++++++				- '								
10 00	8 9	0.100 0.100	13 mm 11 mm	225 kPa 238 kPa	1	+++++++										]		
00	"	0.100	11 1111111	200 KF8	1.00 111	+++++++	1	f	++++	1	++-	۲						
	]				1											Ī		
OT 45	l				l													
00																		
60 50	0	0.100	99 m	no lin-	0.00 m		١						l			}		
50 40	3 4	0.100 0.100	33 mm 25 mm	98 kPa 135 kPa	- 1	<del>┞╏╏</del> ╬╬╬╬		L.3L.4-		l			I					
30	8	0.100	20 mm	225 kPa	1	<del> </del>				[ 	fb					1		
20	8	0.100	13 mm	225 kPa	0.40 m								- 1					1
10	8	0.100	13 mm	225 kPa	1	<b></b>							Ī					
00	12	0.100	8 mm	275 kPa	0.60 m	<del>}                                    </del>	-+-	+++4	+++1	+++	+++	++	++					
90 90	f	0.100	13 mm	225 kPa	0.70 m						++		1					
80 70		0.100 0.100	17 mm	175 kPa	0.80 m					ĺ			- 1					İ
70 80	- 1	0.100	17 mm 25 mm	175 kPa 135 kPa	0.90 m  -1				ተተ				J					
50		0.100	33 mm	98 kPa	1.10 m			TT								[		
40		0.100	33 mm	98 kPa	1.20 m											]		Ì
30		0.100	50 mm	68 kPa	1.30 m								ļ					
20		0.100	50 mm	68 kPa	1.40 m 4	+++++							- 1					
10	- 1	0.100		135 kPa	1.50 m +					1								
00	61	0.100	17 mm	175 kPa	1.60 m [+	Additional Con-							- 1			ŧ		

HAF				RSON		ULT	ΑN	TŞ	} L	T J	)		-		No			4359 0
,		APENE	TROMETE	R RESULT S	HEET			14			umanus.						)-Mar-(	06
CLIENT		AAR.LAE	ITTAKAT A					1						1	ted b	у Р	SP	
PROJE	OI;	WHAP	EWAKA	V···   ····   ···		F0	4		endin			_	*****	Prir		40	0 45	0 1/5
oadina	Blows	diff	Pen/blov	v Bearing	Depth	50 3	7	00	150 20		00	_		300	350	40 CBI		) Kpa
		l uii	ren/uiov	v beaming	Debii		/ ************************************	3	ZU	ات	J 0	) V	60	t 		- CDI		-
-OT 46	i	İ																- 1
	_					l		ŀ						1				- 1
1.60	0	1			0.00 m			l						1				- 1
1.50	4	1				+++++				1				1				1
.40	8	1				+++++						-				1		- 1
.30	7	1				+++++				1	+					-		- 1
.20	6	ł				+++++								ł				1
.10	7	1				+++++												i
.00	8	1				+++++								1		- 1		- 1
.90	10					+++++								1				
.80	11	ı				+++++										1		
.70	12	1				+++++										-		
.60	10					+++++							ŀ			1		
.50	9	ŧ				++++++						+						.
.40	7	0.100				+++++												
.30	8	0.100				+++++										1		ĺ
.20	13	I .				+++++							+++	l				
.10	. 8	•				+++++										1		
.00	8	0.100	12 mm	225 kPa	1.60 m	++++++	++++ 1	+++	++++	+++	+++							- 1
^T <b>-</b> 4														l				
OT 74										ı						1		
^^	_									- 1				l		-		- 1
.60	0			45=45-	0.00 m		- 1							Ī				- 1
.50 .40	. 4	0.100	25 mm			++++++				1						-		
.40 .30	8	0.100	13 mm		;	++++++												- 1
.20	12 11	0.100	8 mm			++++++							-					
.10	8	0.100	9 mm		1	+++++						+++	++					
.00	8	0.100	13 mm			****										ı		- 1
.90	7	0.100	13 mm		1	+++++												
.80	6	0.100	14 mm			+++++				+++	•					1		
70	6	0.100	17 mm 17 mm		1	<del>+++++</del> +				1								- 1
.60	8	0.100	17 mm			++++++												- 1
50	10	0.100	10 mm	250 kPa	1	++++++												
40	5	0.100	20 mm	160 kPa	1.20 m	++++++				1	++-	+++						- 1
30	5	0.100	20 mm	160 kPa		<del>++++++</del>				ı			ı			Ī		
20	10	0.100	10 mm	250 kPa		******* ++++++												
10	8	0.100	13 mm	200 KFa 225 kPa	1.50 m	******	***	****			+++							
00	8	0.100	12 mm		1.60 m	********		1111			++		-					
00	٦	0.100	IIIIII AI	EEU NE a	1.00 111	*********	TTT	++++	4-4-4	1	ተተ					ŀ		ı
OT 75			v***										İ					
/ 0	ı				l l													
20	o				0.00 m											1		
10	2	0.100	50 mm	68 kPa	0.10 m								1					
00	6	0.100	17 mm	175 kPa		++++++ ++++++	1	مدده	444				ĺ					
90	13	0.100	8 mm	275 kPa	0.30 m					-4-4- -4-4-	دوو		ا ب			1		
80	8	0.100	13 mm	225 kPa	0.40 m							TT.	'					1
70	9	0.100	11 mm	238 kPa	0.50 m								ļ					
60	9	0.100	11 mm	238 kPa	0.60 m								ĺ					
50	7	0.100	14 mm	200 kPa	0.70 m							-	Ì			1		
40	4	0.100	25 mm	135 kPa	0.80 m					Ĭ			-					
30	5	0.100	20 mm	160 kPa	0.90 m				++				J					1
20	4	0.100	25 mm	135 kPa	1.00 m					1			-					
10	20	0.100	5 mm	430 kPa	1.10 m					l LL.	644 444	444	1 1	4444		ا سارسان واسان	4.4.	
	чоск		#VALUEI		1.20 m		Ï	. ,	., TT	T			· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11.77	1	• •	
- •							- 1			1						1		

•



## PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Client Harris	on Griers	on Consu	Itants Lim	ited	Technicia	n JWC		, <u>l                                    </u>	(Scala)  Job No 12536				
<sup>2</sup> roject Wha	rewaka Po	oint Subdi	vision		District	Taupo	<del>_</del>		Date 1	2.12.05			
Test Area St	age Two						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Time				
_evel Tested (	eg Subgra	ade):											
Material Teste	d:				<u>,</u>								
Lot No	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	Test No		
Road							İ		<u> </u>		Road		
Chainage											Chainage		
Side											Side		
Depth (mm)				Blows	Per 100m	m of Pen	etration				Depth (mm)		
100	3	3	2	3	5	4	2	2	3	5	100		
200	10	10	4	9	8	8	6	12	9	9	200		
300	9	11	5	12	7	6	5	15	14	14	300		
400	8	14	11	12	12	11	7	12	16	16	400		
		-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						***************************************		
500	7	14	8	20	12	16	9	8	21	13	500		
600	8	16	6	19	11	16	10	8	18	12	600		
700	10	15	7		12	18	11	12	26	11	700		
800	11	12	5		14		14	12		17	800		
900	12	16	3		16		16	18		18	900		
1000	10		5		12			17		21	1000		
1100	11		7		44	.		10		24	1100		
	11				11			16		24	1100		
1200	12		7		12			14			1200		
1300	16		8		9			18			1300		
1400	18		9		8			22			1400		
1500	17		8		12			20			1500		



## PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

	<del></del>			· · · · ·				<u> </u>		Scala)	
Client Harris	on Griers	on Consul	tants Limi	ted	Technicia	an JWC			Job No	12536	
Project Wha	rewaka Po	oint Subdi	/ision		District	Taupo	<u> </u>		Date 1	2.12.05	
Test Area St	age Two								Time	<del>,</del>	
_evel Tested (	eg Subgra	ade):		~~~							
<u>Material Teste</u>	d:										
Lot No	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	Test No
Road											Road
Chainage											Chainage
Side											Side
Depth (mm)				Blows	Per 100m	m of Pen	etration				Depth (mm
100	5	5	3	4	5	3	2	4	3	4	100
200	12	10	- 9	8	9						000
200	14	10	- 3	0	<del>9</del>	6	8	6	9	6	200
300			_	40	44	<u> </u>					
300	14	8	7	12	11	12	8	11	7	12	300
400	16	8	6	12	11	40	10	47		40	400
700		0		12	11	13	12	17	6	12	400
500	16	11	12	10:	10	40	1.4	49	A 4	40	500
			12	10	10	12	14	18	11	10	500
600	18	9	13	11	11.	16	14	12	10	18	600
	10		- 13	<u>.</u>		10	14		10	10	600
700	14	12	13	14	11	18	19	19	10	19	700
										10	700
800	17	13	16	13	14		17	22	11	16	800
				131	14		1/	22	11	10	<u> </u>
900			17	18	16		12		16	12	900
1000			18		16		16		18		1000
1100			18		19		19		21		1100
4000											
1200			<u> </u>		17		18		19		1200
1200											
1300					15				24		1300
1400					40						4400
, 100					19						1400
1500					23						1500
1000					23						1500



## PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

			_					<u></u>	•	Scala)	
Client Harri	son Griers	on Consu	ltants Limi	ted	Technicia	an JWC			Job No	12536	
roject Wha	arewaka Po	oint Subdi	vision		District	Taupo			Date 1	2.12.05	
Test Area S	tage Two								Time		
_evel Tested	(eg Subgr	ade):									<del></del> -
Material Teste	ed:										
Lot No	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	* 74	75	Test No
Road							ĺ				Road
Chainage					-						Chainage
Side											Side
Depth (mm)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Blows	Per 100m	m of Pen	etration	.,			Depth (mm)
100	4	2	4	3	3	5	2	3	5	2	100
200	8		0								
200		5	8	5	8	. 9	4	5	3	3	200
					.,		ļ				
300	11	9	8	11	14	10	5	7	5	5	300
400											
400	10	10	16	14	16	10	9	8	9	14	400
500											
500	16	14	13	16	19	11	12	8	14	14	500
600	40	40	40	40				4.4			
	19	13	12	16	21	13	16	14	16	10	600
700	20	11		15	23	16	46	15	04		700
	20			101		10	16	10	21	11	700
800	16			47				40			
	16		<u></u>	17		14	15	19		14	800
900	18					15	17	17	1	18	900
**************************************										10	
1000	21					19		21		17	1000
1100	17					17		22		23	1100
1200						18				18	1200
1200											
1300											1300
1400											1400
											1400
1500											1500
1000											1500



## PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

ilent Harri	son Griers	on Consu	ıltants Lim	ited	Technici	an JWC	· · · ·	<b>-</b>	Job No	12536	
'roject Wha	arewaka Po	oint Subd	ivision		District	Taupo			Date 1	2.12.05	
'est Area S	tage Two				<del></del>				Time		
evel Tested	(eg Subgra	ade):	•						····		
<u>Naterial Teste</u>	ed:										
Lot No	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	* 84	85	Test No
Road											Road
Chainage											Chainage
Side						<u> </u>					Side
Depth (mm)	<del>_</del>			Blows	Per 100n	nm of Pen	etration		<del></del>	<u></u>	Depth (mm)
100	3	5	2	3	3	4	4	4	6	3	100
200	5	6	8	9	9	7	7	4	14	8	200
							<u>'</u>	7			200
300	8	11	1.4	40		40		-	10		
300		11	14	12	11	13	11	6	12	12	300
400	6	9.	13	15	9	19	12	6	16	16	400
			,,,	10		19	14		10	10	400
500	12	14	15	17	13	12	16	11	14	14	500
	· ·					12		!! <u>!</u>	1 17	1-4	300
600	14	15	17	14	11	12	12	9	15	18	600
700		13	16	19	10	14	16	11	18	12	700
800		18,	18	21	11	17	18	12	17	16	800
900		17	17	16	12	14	13	16	23	22	900
								0			
1000	<del> </del> -		17	17	17	16	15	17	18	18	1000
1100											
1100			16	18	19	13	18	18	22	24	1100
1200				20	17	10	10	40	04		1200
	<del></del>			20	17)	19	18	19	24		1200
1300				24	20	20	17	24			1300
1400					26	20	20				1400
1500						18	19				1500



## PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

				0.00					(	Scala)	<del></del>
Client Harris	son Griers	son Consu	Itants Limi	ted	Technicia	an JWC			Job No	12536	
roject Wha	arewaka P	oint Subdi	vision		District	Taupo			Date 1	2.12.05	
lest Area St	tage Two					,			Time		
_evel Tested (	(eg Subgr	ade):									
<u>vlaterial</u> Teste	d:										
Lot No	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	Test No
Road											Road
Chainage											Chainage
Side											Side
Depth (mm)				Blows	Per 100m	m of Pene	etration				Depth (mm)
100 .	4	3	3	2	4	6	3	3	2	3	100
200	12	4	6	8	5	8	7	9	3	6	200
										]	
300	18	7	8	11	9	7	7	17	4	8	300
400	19	20	14	15	16	14	6	12	4	12	400
								·			
500	20	19	11	15	13	14	14	21	6	14	500
600	22	26	15	12	15	15	23	21	6	13	600
					1						000
700	24		18	19	18	13	28	18	5	16	700
										101	700
800			16	17	18	44		40		40	000
			10		10	11		19	5	18	800
900			17		17	15		21	7	18	900
										10	900
1000			20		20	18		19	14	21	1000
											1000
1100			21		24	19		23	20	24	1100
1200			24			17		21	19		1200
1300						16		24	21		1300
1400						19			18		1400
1500						23			24		1500



### PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Client Harris	son Griere	on Coner	iltants Lim	ited	Technicia	n JWC		<u> -                                   </u>	(Scala)  Job No 12536				
	~-·					<del>-</del>	<del></del>	4.00 A					
		oint Subdi	vision		District	Taupo		,		2.12.05			
Test Area St	age Two					<del></del>		<u></u>	Time				
Level Tested (	eg Subgr	ade):						*					
Material Teste	d:	<del>,</del>	T	T	<del>,</del>					-			
Lot No	96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104	105	Test No		
Road			<u></u>							<u> </u>	Road		
Chainage											Chainage		
Side									<u> </u>		Side		
Depth (mm)				Blows	Per 100m	m of Pen	etration	·		- 1	Depth (mm		
100 .	2	6	3	6	2	3	2	2	1	4	100		
					~								
200	6	6	4	4	4	7	3	3	6	8	200		
										******			
300	8	8	6	8	7	9	6	4	4	12	300		
400	14	14	9	11	10	9	7	9	3	18	400		
500	11	9	9	10	9	11	11	8	7	22	500		
600	16	12	11	10	9	12	12	8	11		600		
700	12	17	9	16	14	17	10	10	12		700		
800	15	18	16	17	10	19	9	9	14		800		
900	19	16	17	16	13	19	11	7	16		900		
							•						
1000	19	21	18	18	14	21	12	10	12		1000		
1100	17	17	19	18	16	22	15	12	18		1100		
4000													
1200	23	18	21	24	21	26	16	14	12		1200		
1200													
1300	24	24	21		22		12	16	14	<del></del>	1300		
1400											4400		
1700			20				17	17	16		1400		
1500			40								4800		
1500			19		<u>l.</u>		17	20	14	<u> </u>	1500		



### PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Fest Area Stag Level Tested (eg Material Tested:	e Two	ede):	vision 108	109	District	Taupo			Date 1	2.12.05					
_evel Tested (eg  Material Tested:  Lot No  Road	Subgra		108	109			н.		Time						
Lot No Road			108	109			"-								
Lot No Road			108	109	T					**************************************					
Road	106	107	108	109			· ·				***				
					110	111	112	113	114	115	Test No				
Chainage						•	,				Road				
				· · · ·							Chainage				
Side											Side				
Depth (mm)				Blows	Per 100m	m of Pen	etration				Depth (mm)				
100	3	1	2	4	3	3	2	3	2	2	100				
200	4	7	4	9	4	3	6	4	3	7	200				
300	6	9	6	8	5	6	8	8	5	7	300				
400	8	11	11	12	6	7	8	6	61	15	400				
500	10	15	12	16	6	6	9	11	6	19	500				
600	9	18	14	18	12	9		40							
		10	14/	10	12	<u>ਤੂ</u>	8	12	9	21	600				
700	12	20	15	21	14	8	10	10	7		700				
800	11		18		40	40		40							
					16	12	9	10	12		800				
900	14		17			11	12	12	16		900				
1000	14		21			13	14	12		******	1000				
1100	18					10					44.00				
	10					18	16	16	<u> </u>		1100				
1200							17	15			1200				
1300							16	17			1300				
1400								21			1400				
1500								26			1500				



#### **PENETROMETER**

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

(Scala)



## PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

Client Harris	on Griers	son Consu	ıltants Lim	ited	Technician JWC Job No 12536						
Project Wha	District	Taupo		Date 12.12.05							
est Area St	age Two										
_evel Tested (	eg Subgr	ade):				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<u> /laterial Teste</u>	d:										-
Lot No	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	Test No
Road					Ì						Road
Chainage											Chainage
Side		ļ					<u> </u>	<u> </u>			Side
Depth (mm)		<del></del>	т	Blows	Per 100n	nm of Pen	etration	· · · · · ·	1	,	Depth (mm
			1				ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
100	4	Stage 1	Stage 1	Stage 1	Stage 1	Stage 1	Stage 1	Stage 1	Stage 1	Stage 1	100
200	7							<u> </u>			200
	<del> </del>			<del> </del>		<del></del>			<del> </del>		
300		\ <u>.</u>									200
300	8					<u> </u>			<u> </u>		300
400	14								<u> </u>	<u> </u>	400
		<del></del>								<del></del>	400
500	11			Artonia to de		ļ					500
						-					
600	12								i		600
		<del></del>									<del></del>
700	11	,								•	700
800	17										800
900	19	-									900
											•
1000		:					*		<del></del>		1000
1100											4400
1100											1100
1200											1200
											1200
1300				İ							1300
1400											1400
1500											1500



## PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

lient Harr	ison Grier	son Consi	ıltants Lim	nited	Technician JWC				(Scala)  Job No 12536				
roject Wh	District Taupo				Date 12.12.05								
est Area S	tage Two		~					Time					
evel Tested	(eg Subg	rade):	****	<del></del>									
laterial Test	ed:								-74°.				
Lot No	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170	171	Test No		
Road				ļ							Road		
Chainage											Chainage		
Side		<u>L</u> .	<u></u>								Side		
Depth (mm)			<del> </del>	Blows	Per 100n	nm of Pen	etration				Depth (mm)		
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ				<u> </u>				
100	2	2	2	2	4	4	3	3 4	(	5 10	100		
200	3	3	2.5	3	7	4	6	10		10	200		
	<u> </u>		2.0					10		19	200		
300								1			***************************************		
	2	4	3	4	14	10	4	14		17	300		
400	2	3	4	3	17	40	-	10			400		
					17	12	5	10	ξ	16	400		
500	3	5	5	6	14	11	10	14	10	17	500		
					1	1 1	10	14	10	1/	500		
600	1.5	4	6	7	15	8	12	17	11	21	600		
	·····										000		
700	2	6	6	7	12	6	13	12	12	18	700		
									<del></del>				
800	2	4	7	8	14	14	9	16	10	19	800		
									ix	<u> </u>			
900	17	4	8	12	17	14	11	13	17	23	900		
											·		
1000	22	3	24	15	11	18	17	19	16	24	1000		
1100	25												
1100	25	5	28	11	14	8	16	21	18	19	1100		
1200	30	14		15	24	8	10	20			1200		
		17		10			18	20	21	26	1200		
1300		12		13		14	15	17			1300		
1400		18		9		24	23	22			1400		
1500		13		7		20	28	28			1500		



### PENETROMETER

PENETRATION RESISTANCE

E N		rn +64 / 5		(Scala)								
Project What	Technicia	W-W-11		Job No 12536								
	age Two	int Subdiv	/ision	······-	District	Taupo		Date 12.12.05				
			——————————————————————————————————————						ime			
<u>.evel Tested (classical Tested</u>		ide):										
Lot No	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179		<u></u>	Test No	
Road		110		170	. 110	1 177	170	179				
Chainage				<del></del>							Road Chainage	
Side				1104						-	Side	
Depth (mm)				Blows	Per 100m	m of Pene	etration	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ָרַ <u></u>	Depth (mm	
100	6	8	5	6	3	6	3	6			100	
200	10	18	10	14	5	7	8	7			200	
300	12	24	12	10	9	8	5	8	<del>-, -, - ,</del>		300	
											7/11/4/7/A/1	
400	12	11	12	7	12	6	12	16			400	
500		40	40									
500	14	12	13	6	13	14	9	12	<del></del>		500	
600	11	10	16	15	12	44	44	44		-		
				10	14	11	14	11	<del></del>		600	
700	14	10	12	18	18	9	11	14		-	700	
											700	
800	15	7	10	20	16	16	15	13			800	
								- 'Ŭ	<del></del>			
900	15	7	15	18	19	13	18	18			900	
								*				
1000	16	10	14	24	14	12	19	15			1000	
1100	10		4-							<u> </u>		
7100	12	10	17		17	18	17	17			1100	
1200	21	18	19		16	16	14	12		+	1200	
			'-		19			14		<del>                                     </del>		
1300	22	21	22		24	19	17	18			1300	
1400			17			21	13	18			1400	
										-		
1500			16				19	17			1500	

**DRAWINGS** 







